

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,789	06/28/2005	Jens A. Hansen	G3781,0007/P007	9876
24998 DICKSTEIN S	7590 12/08/200 HAPIRO I I P	8	EXAM	INER
1825 EYE STREET NW Washington, DC 20006-5403			OLADAPO, TAIWO	
Washington, D	C 20006-5403		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/518,789	HANSEN ET AL.				
Examiner	Art Unit				
TAIWO OLADAPO	1797				

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication

 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 				
Status				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 August 2008.			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 11</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 11</u> is/are rejected.			

8) Claim(s) ____ Application Papers

o) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85				

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

__ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

0\ The specification is objected to by the Evaminer

1.∟	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(e)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
3) T Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)	 Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/518,789 Page 2

Art Unit: 1797

DETAILED ACTION

 The amendment/response dated 08/13/2008 have been considered and entered for the record. The argument overcomes the previous rejections made which are hereby, withdrawn.
 New rejections are made below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1 8, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gentry et al. (US 6,261,441)
- 4. In regards to claim 1 3, 11, Gentry teaches a process of preparing middle distillates by conversion of paraffin (column 1 lines 44 54). Gentry teach the process involves the steps of hydroisomerization which uses hydrogenation components supported by beta zeolite having a silica/alumina support having a ratio of silica: alumina of preferably at least 100:1 (column 11 lines 50 55; column 12 lines 1 24). Applicants inclusion of an amorphous support would correspond to the use of an alumina binder by Gentry (Col 13, lines 37-55). The position is taken that the catalyst of Gentry including an amorphous support (having ratios as in the claimed invention), will intrinsically also have the same Ion Exchange Capacity-Acidity Index and NH₃-TPD Acidity Index of claims 1 3, 11.

Art Unit: 1797

In regards to claim 4, Gentry teaches the process wherein the beta zeolite has silica:
 alumina molar ratio of at least 100:1 as previously stated.

- In regards to claims 5 7, Gentry teaches the process wherein the hydrogenation components include metals such as nickel and tungsten and their combinations (column 8 lines 37 – 42; column 11 lines 54 – 58).
- In regards to claim 8, Gentry teaches the process wherein the support can comprise silica/alumina ratio of at least 50%, which provides zeolite of 50% or less (column 8 lines 16 – 23).

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 8, 11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 9. The applicant's argument overcomes the rejections made over the combined references by LaPierre and Stiegleder as the references teach different processes that involves using two different types of catalysts that will yield different products, and one of ordinary skill in the art will not have a motivation to combine the two references.
- 10. Gentry is used in making new grounds of rejection who teaches the process of using zeolite beta for preparing middle distillates as in the instant invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TAIWO OLADAPO whose telephone number is (571)270-3723. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30.

Art Unit: 1797

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571)272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TO

/Glenn A Caldarola/ Acting SPE of Art Unit 1797